OIP LUCKS

ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION (37 CFR 1.63)

Declaration Submitted with Initial Filing

OR

Declaration
Submitted after Initial
Filing (surcharge
(37 CFR 1.16(e))
required)

Attorney Docket Num	ber 42P18576
First Named Inventor	Dean T. Lindsay
C	COMPLETE IF KNOWN
Application Number	10/811,551
Filing Date	March 29, 2004
Art Unit	2181
Examiner Name	Not Yet Assigned

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, mailing address, and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name listed below) or an original and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

A Mechanism to Repeat Signals Across an Unrelated	Link
(Title of the Invention)	
the specification of which	
is attached hereto.	
OR	
was filed on (if applicable): 03/29/2004 as United States Application Number	10/811,551
PCT International Application Number	(if applicable)
and was amended on	(II applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claim(s), as amended by any amendment specifically referred to above.

I do not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was ever known or used in the United States of America before my invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application. I do not know and do not believe that the claimed invention was in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, nor do I know or believe that the invention has been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months (for a utility patent application) or six months (for a design patent application) prior to this application.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56, including for continuation-in-part applications, material information which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or (f), or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent, or inventor's or plant breeder's rights certificate(s), or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application for patent, listed below and have also identified below, by checking the box, any foreign application having a filing date inventor's or plant breeder's rights certificate(s), or any PCT international application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

- Cos	Application(s):		Filipo Datel	Priority	Certified Copy	
: Prior For	eign Application	Country	Foreign Filing Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Not Claimed	Attached?	
N	umber(s)				∐ Yes ∐ No	
	es a see	and the second			☐ Yes ☐ No	
	į			Ĺ.	☐ Yes ☐ No	
1	,		İ		☐ Yes ☐ No	
			·		Yes No	
this docu nd revoca rademark	point the persons ment) as my rest tion, to prosecute Office connected rrespondence to:	this application a	nd to transact all busin	ness in the U	by reference and a par bower of substitution S. Patent and espondence address belo	
Name	Mork I Watson	f, Taylor & Zafman	LLP			
Address		Boulevard, 7th Floor				
City	Los Angeles		State California	Zip	Code 90025	
		 Teler	phone (303) 740-1980	Fa	Fax (303) 740-6962	
Country	USA			edge are true	and that all	
I hereby d statement were mad	leclare that all sta is made on inform le with the knowle	atements made her nation and belief a edge that willful fal	rein of my own knowle re believed to be true; se statements and the 2, 1001 and that such	e like so mad willful false :	e are punishable by	
I hereby d statement were mad fine or im jeopardize	leclare that all sta is made on inform le with the knowle prisonment, or bo e the validity of th	atements made he nation and belief a edge that willful fal oth, under 18 U.S.G ne application or a	rein of my own knowlere believed to be true; se statements and the C. 1001 and that such my patent issued there	e like so mad willful false s on. filed for this	e are punishable by	
I hereby d statement were mad fine or im jeopardize	leclare that all states made on informate with the knowled prisonment, or both the validity of the solle or FIRS	atements made her nation and belief a edge that willful fal oth, under 18 U.S.C ne application or an	rein of my own knowlere believed to be true; se statements and the C. 1001 and that such my patent issued there A petition has been	e like so mad willful false s on. filed for this	e are punishable by statements may undersigned inventor	
I hereby distatement were madifine or implication peopardize NAME Of Full Name	leclare that all states made on informate with the knowled prisonment, or both the validity of the sole or FIRSTER	atements made her nation and belief a edge that willful fal oth, under 18 U.S.C ne application or an	rein of my own knowlere believed to be true; se statements and the C. 1001 and that such my patent issued there A petition has been Dean T. Linds fany Family Name (or Signary)	e like so mad willful false s on. filed for this	e are punishable by statements may undersigned inventor	
I hereby distatement were madifine or implication peopardize NAME Of Full Name	leclare that all states made on informate with the knowled prisonment, or both the the validity of the solle or first established by the solle	atements made her nation and belief a edge that willful fal oth, under 18 U.S.C ne application or an	rein of my own knowlere believed to be true; se statements and the C. 1001 and that such my patent issued there A petition has been Dean T. Linds Jany Family Name (or Signary Family Name (or S	e like so mad willful false son. filed for this ay wrname), and Si	undersigned inventor	

Appendix A

I hereby appoint with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith, BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, a firm including: Raj V. Abhyanker, Reg. No. 45,474; William E. Alford, Reg. No. 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. 42,261; Vincent H. Anderson, Reg. No. 54,962; Anthony H. Azure, Reg. No. 52,580; W. Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Jordan M. Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Todd M. Becker, Reg. No. 43,487; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; R. Alan Burnett, Reg. No. 46,149; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Cory G. Claassen, Reg. No. 50,296; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Mimi D. Dao, Reg. No. 45,628; Stephen M. De Klerk, Reg. No. 46,503; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Elena B. Dreszer, Reg. No. 55,128; Nathan P. Elder, Reg. No. 55,150; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; Thomas S. Ferrill, Reg. No. 42,532; Adam Furst, Reg. No. 51,710; Angelo J. Gaz, Reg. No. 45,907; Andre M. Gibbs, Reg. No. 47,593; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; Jason R. Graff, Reg. No. 54,134; Arlen M. Hartounian, Reg. No. 52,997; Jeffery Scott Heileson, Reg. No. 46,765; James A. Henry, Reg. No. 41,064; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. 41,845; Sheryl Sue Holloway, Reg. No. 37,850; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; Aslam A. Jaffery, Reg. No. 51,841; Walter T. Kim, Reg. No. 42,731; Eric T. King, Reg. No. 44,188; Mark A. Kupanoff, Reg. No. 55,349; Steven Laut, Reg. No. 47,736; Suk S. Lee, Reg. No. 47,745; Gordon R. Lindeen III, Reg. No. 33,192; Jan Little-Washington, Reg. No. 41,181; Joseph Lutz, Reg. No. 43,765; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, Reg. No. 48,095; Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879; Jonathan S. Miller, Reg. No. 48,534; Heather M. Molleur, Reg. No. 50,432; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 42,034; Robert B. O'Rourke, Reg. No. 46,972; Daniel E. Ovanezian, Reg. No. 41,236; Philip A. Pedigo, Reg. No. 52,107; Marina G. Portnova, Reg. No. 45,750; Jon C. Reali, Reg. No. 54,391; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Kevin G. Shao, Reg. No. 45,095; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; Lisa Tom, Reg. No. 52,291; Mark C. Van Ness, Reg. No. 39,865; Thomas A. Van Zandt, Reg. No. 43,219; Mark R. Vatuone, Reg. No. 53,719; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; John P. Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Mark L. Watson, Reg. No. 46,322; Thomas C. Webster, Reg. No. 46,154; Chui-Kiu Teresa Wong, Reg. No. 48,042; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250, my patent attorneys, and Chze Koon Chua, 53,831; Brent Vecchia, Reg. No. 48,011 and Lehua Wang, Reg. No. 48,023, my patent agents, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800; and James R. Thein, Reg. No. 31,710, my patent attorney, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith. I also appoint Alan K. Aldous, Reg. No. 31,905; Rob D. Anderson, Reg. No. 33,826; Shireen I. Bacon, Reg. No. 40,494: Michael R. Barre, Reg. No. 44,023; Jay P. Beale, Reg. No. 50,901; R. Edward Brake, Reg. No. 37,784; Ben Burge, Reg. No. 42,372; George Chen, Reg. No. 50,807; Glen B. Choi, Reg. No. 43,546; Kenneth Cool, Reg. No. 40,570; Ted A. Crawford, Reg. No. 50,610; Robert A. Diehl, Reg. No. 40,992; Jeffrey S. Draeger, Reg. No. 41,000; Rahul D. Engineer, Reg. No. 47,548; Cynthia Thomas Faatz, Reg. No. 39,973; James S. Finn, Reg. No. 38,450; Sanjay S. Gadkari, Reg. No. 55,796; Christopher K. Gagne, Reg. No. 36,142; Sharmini N. Green, Reg. No. 41,410; Robert A. Greenberg, Reg. No. 44,133; Bradley A. Greenwald, Reg. No. 34,341; David L. Guglielmi, Reg. No. 55,229; Robert D. Hinchliffe, Reg. No. 55,268; Jeffrey B. Huter, Reg. No. 41,086; B. Delano Jordan, Reg. No. 43,698; Seth Z. Kalson, Reg. No. 40,670; Issac Lin, Reg. No. 50,672; David C. Lundmark, Reg. No. 42,815; Anthony M. Martinez, Reg. No. 44,223; Larry Mennemeier, Reg. No. 51,003; Molly A. Mccall, Reg. No. 46,126; Paul Nagy, Reg. No. 37,896; Michael J. Nesheiwat, Reg. No. 47,819; Dennis A. Nicholls, Reg. No. 42,036; Kathy J. Ortiz, Reg. No. 54,351; Lanny L. Parker, Reg. No. 44,281; Cathy L. Peterson, Reg. No. 41,249; Michael D. Plimier, Reg. No. 43,004; Michael A. Proksch, Reg. No. 43,021; Kevin A. Reif, Reg. No. 36,381; Crystal D. Sayles, Reg. No. 44,318; Russell C. Scott, Reg. No. 43,103; Justin B. Scout, Reg. No. 54,431; Kenneth M. Seddon, Reg. No. 43,105; Mark Seeley, Reg. No. 32,299; Ami P. Shah, Reg. No. 42,143; David Simon, Reg. No. 32,756; Steven P. Skabrat, Reg. No. 36,279; Paul E. Steiner, Reg. No. 41,326; Joni D. Stutman-Horn, Reg. No. 42,173; David Tran, Reg. No. 50,804; John F. Travis, Reg. No. 43,203; Kerry D.Tweet, Reg. No. 45,959; Stuart A. Whittington, Reg. No. 45,215; Robert G. Winkle, Reg. No. 37,474; Rita M. Wisor, Reg. No. 41,382; Sharon Wong, Reg. No. 37,760; Steven D. Yates, Reg. No. 42,242; Calvin E. Wells; Reg. No. 43,256; and Charles K. Young, Reg. No. 39,435; my patent attorneys and my patent agents, of INTEL CORPORATION; with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in formation. There is no duty to submit information, which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
 - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.